UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/716,431	11/20/2003	Makoto Sasaki	117835	6965	
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 07/11/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3208	350	DALEY, CLIFTON G			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22320-4850		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/716,431	SASAKI, MAKOTO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CLIFTON G. DALEY	2624		

	CLIFTON G. DALEY	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extractional extraction extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extractional extraction extractional extractional extraction extraction extraction extractional extraction	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The approprious of the fee. The appropriation of the final Office of the final Office of the feet appropriate the feet appr	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second co	sideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially red	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying t					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an endowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [See Continuation Sheet. Dowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-11, 14-20, 23 and 24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ided below or appended.						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Samir A. Ahmed/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624	Samir Ahmed Examiner Art Unit: 2624						

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 102 rejection of claims 25 and 27 rendered moot by cancellation of claims 25 and 27.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks have been considered and are not persuasive. Lundahl's weighted distance calculation clearly quantifies "a degree of accuracy", which is used to determine if a value is normal or abnormal. Regarding applicant's assertion that w(s) is not a function of the weighted distance, Lundahl teaches that w(s) is a smooth monotonically decreasing function of distance (eg. equation 19). Regarding motivation to combine, Applicant asserts that Lundahl's teaching is targeted towards optimizing consumer profiles. However, Lundahl discloses consumer profiles as an example application. Lundahl's teachings are generally directed to the dynamic analysis of data (page 1, paragraph [0002]), and are therefore appropriate for combination with any teaching comprising data analysis.